

From: PHSPCA Development Committee
Subject: DP2020-4776 - 4120-1A Street SW
Date: September 30, 2020 at 7:54:42 PM MDT
To: ezra wasser <ezra.wasser@calgary.ca>
Cc: development <development@parkhillstanleypark.ca>

Dear Mr. Wasser,

By way of follow up to the Community's comments with respect to the above noted matter delivered to you on [September 22](#), the Applicant held a community meeting [last night](#). A number of Community members attended and the Applicant took the Community through a very similar, if not the same, presentation as the Zoom presentation hosted by the Applicant in early September that you attended.

At no point did the Applicant appear remotely interested in considering the feedback from the Community or accommodating any of the Community concerns. Nothing had changed in their presentation from early September. None of the feedback delivered at the Zoom meeting was considered or applied, notwithstanding your express position that you hoped that the Applicant and the Community could find some common ground. We are more than willing but the Applicant has done absolutely nothing to even attempt an accommodation, aside from the promise that they would consider addition back yard visual screening, which doesn't address any of the concerns raised by the Community (primarily being the inappropriately increased density).

We know that you have received a large number of emails from members of our Community opposing the Application. In fact, we are only aware of one Community member that is in favour of the application and there are dozens of emails from Community members that have made their opposition very clear.

Notwithstanding that the Applicant indicated that they were there to listen, its hosting of an open house was completely perfunctory and only done to check the box to claim that there was community engagement. In fact, there has been no Community engagement, only

Community inform. The Applicant should not be checking the box indicating Community engagement.

The open house was merely an information session where the Applicant stated, "we are here to listen" more than a dozen times, but provided no meaningful consultation or dialogue where the concerns were individually recorded and responded to in a manner that could be shared to the greater Community. There was no sign in sheet (other than the required COVID tracing sheet) whereby the Applicant could follow up with further information with the residents, nor any indication as to how residents could follow up after the meeting. In addition, there was no opportunity afforded to anyone who didn't feel brave enough to speak up to have their concerns heard and responded to "offline". With no neutral third-party observer that open house cannot be considered a constructive or meaningful dialogue with our community.

At no point did the Applicant address any of the issues raised in our comments dated [September 22, 2020](#), notwithstanding your guidance to address them in their response to you on a point by point basis. To the extent that there is any indication by the Applicant that they have addressed our concerns, it is our very strong view that they have not and that they have not even tried.

It is unfortunate that you were unable to attend to hear the overwhelming concern from the Community and we would like an opportunity to meet directly with you to ensure that the Community's position is well understood. Please let us know if you are available for a meeting with key members of the Development Committee and impacted neighbours.

Regards,
Kjelti Kellough on behalf of the Parkhill Stanley Park Community Association.

c. Lama Kamareddine (McKinley Studios/ Applicant)